**PROCEEDINGS OF THE PREBID MEETING HELD ON 12.11.2025 AT 4.00 PM IN BSWML OFFICE FOR THE BIDS INVITED THROUGH NOTIFICATION NO:DGM-1/BSWML/TEND/11/2025-26 DATED: 05.11.2025.**

**OFFICER'S PRESENT:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Chief Engineer& Chief General Manager (Tech) BSWML | | Dr. B G Raghavendra Prasad |
| 2 | Deputy General Manager-1 BSWML | | Sri. Chandrashekar B |
| 3 | Assistant General Manager, BSWML | | Sri. Santhoshkumar Kaddi |
| 4 | Assistant Manager | | Sri. Neelesh Kumar |

**AGENCIES PRESENT:**

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | Greene Sol Power |
| 2 | Greene Sol Power |
| 3 | Adithya Raj |
| 4 | Mehabool, Subhan |
| 5 | Mahesh Kumar |
| 6 | Greene Sol Power |
| 7 | Ganesh G H Hampi |
| 8 | Maiwan |
| 9 | Joju Alexander |
| 10 | Brij Gopal Constructions |
| 11 | Rathan Y N |
| 12 | Ashwith |
| 13 | Santhosh N K |
| 14 | Hemnthraj |
| 15 | Ravi oiran |
| 16 | Susera Recycles Pvt. Ltd. |

**********

Chief Engineer& Chief General Manager (Tech) BSWML welcomed all the officials and the representatives of the agencies/ firms for below works for which pre- Bid meeting was called. Representatives of various companies as above were present in the said pre bid meeting.

The queries/clarifications sought by the agencies with regard to bids invited, were received in writing / e-mail & through KPP portal. The clarifications are issued according to the tenders invited as under: -

**SUBJECT-1: "Appointment of Concessionaire on Tipping Fee basis to execute the Comprehensive Integrated Solid Waste Management facilities including Secondary Transportation of MSW from Transfer Stations to designated Processing Center(s) and further Processing**

**and Disposal of the same in North Package (Yelahanka Zone, Dasarahalli Zone, East Zone & West Zone) of BBMP and Bengaluru Urban District jurisdiction by seeking private sector participation on Public Private Partnership (PPP mode – DBFOT Model) Call-3"**

**AND**

**"Appointment of Concessionaire on Tipping Fee basis to execute the Comprehensive Integrated Solid Waste Management facilities including Secondary Transportation of MSW from Transfer Stations to designated Processing Center(s) and further Processing and Disposal of the same in South Package (RR Nagar Zone, Mahadevapura Zone, South Zone & Bommanahalli Zone) of BBMP and Bengaluru Urban District jurisdiction by seeking private sector participation on Public Private Partnership (PPP mode – DBFOT Model) Call-3"**

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **1. M/s. Metro Waste Handling Pvt. Ltd.** | | |
| 1 | Section A2 I<br>Volume– 1<br><br>Number of similar assignments: (6 marks)<br>Bidder should have the experience of having successfully completed similar<br>assignments or have a similar ongoing project since the last 36 month of minimum 1,500 TPD transportation to transport municipal waste to processing facilities or landfill sites, with minimum 12 transfer stations<br>a. More than 3 (three) projects: 6 marks OR<br>b. 2 (two) projects: 4 marks OR<br>c. 1(one) project: 2 marks<br>Letter of Acceptance/ Work order, Agreements,<br>Completion certificate and Work done certificates. | It is mentioned the Bidder shall have experience minimum 1500 TPD transportation to transport municipal<br>waste to processing facilities or landfill sites We request to please<br>clarify<br>a. Is BSWML expecting bidder to have shall have experience of 12 transfer station ?<br>Or<br>The Similar work which is completed / ongoing<br>work shall have 1500 TPD Work and 12 transfer station .Will BMSWML consider experience of C&T without Transfer station.<br>a. Transportation work of 1500 TPD separately<br>b. Transfer station work separately | Tender Conditions prevails |
| 02. | Bidder should have the experience of having successfully completed (or have a similar ongoing project since the last 36 months) Secondary Transportation using | Request to add C&D Waste for transportation<br>Bidder should have the experience of having successfully completed (or have a similar ongoing project since the last 36 months) Secondary Transportation using | Tender Condition Prevails |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | compactor/container/equivalent to transport municipal waste to processing facilities or landfill sites with GPS/ RFID/ Mobile/Vehicle tracking Mechanism for the contract size of minimum 300 TPD<br>3 works: 3 marks<br>2 works: 2 marks<br>1 work: 1 mark | compactor/container/<br>equivalent to transport municipal waste/ C&D<br>Waste to processing facilities or landfill sites with GPS/ RFID/ Mobile/Vehicle tracking Mechanism for the contract size of minimum 300 TPD | |
| 03 | Section A2 I<br><br>Number of similar Assignments<br>Bidder should have the experience of having<br>successfully completed (or have a similar ongoing project where processing is ongoing since the last 36 months) similar<br>assignments as per clause 3.1.4 (b):<br>a. More than 4 projects: 9 marks OR<br>b. 2 to 4 project: 6 marks<br>c. 1 to 2 projects: 3 marks | What is the capacity of the similar project considered ? | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 04 | Volume– I Section A2 – II,<br>Page<br>The comparative size and quality of Eligible Assignments:<br>a. If the bidder successfully constructed and done O&M of a WTE plant for the generation<br>of electricity with minimum size of the plant being 14MW during last 5 years and the plant is running successfully as on PDD as per Clause 3.1.3: 6 Marks<br>OR<br>If the bidder successfully constructed and O&M of the Bio- gas plant of 600TPD /<br>Composting plant for the MSW of at least 1200TPD: 6 Marks<br>b. If the bidder executed O&M of leachate treatment plant within the project site & sanitary landfill for continuous three years or more in India or Abroad in the period of at least past five years before the PDD and the<br>contract is in operational condition as on date of PDD: 3 Mark | We hereby request to please add following processing of C&D waste: If the bidder successfully<br>OR<br>If the bidder successfully constructed and O&M of<br>the C&D Waste Plant of capacity 1000 TPD/ Biogas plant of 600TPD / Composting plant for the<br>MSW of at least 1200TPD: 6 Marks<br><br>b. If the bidder executed O&M of leachate treatment / WTP/ STP plant within the project site & sanitary landfill for continuous three years<br>or more in India or Abroad in the period of at-least past five years before the PDD and the contract is in operational condition as on date of PDD: 3 Mark | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 05 | Volume – I<br>Other relevant assignments or similar work:<br>If bidder have executed SWM projects for Processing of the MSW through any approved technologies by any statutory body in India or abroad are executed on DBFOT model with minimum 50% of the bidder's investment for project size of minimum 250 TPD during last 5 years and project should be in operational condition as on PDD.<br>2 projects: 9 marks, OR<br>1 Project: 6 Marks | Other relevant assignments or similar work:<br>If bidder have executed C&D /SWM projects for<br>Processing of the MSW through any approved<br>technologies by any statutory body in India ~~or abroad~~ are executed on DBFOT model with minimum 50% of the bidder's investment for project size of minimum 250 TPD during last 5 years and project should be in operational condition as on PDD.<br>2 projects: 9 marks, OR<br>1 Project: 6 Marks | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 06 | Volume– I<br>3.1.3 (c) Conditions of Eligible for Key personnel: Each of the Key personnel must fulfil the conditions of the eligibility specified below: | As undertaking and CV are been asked , We request to remove the clause :<br>Number of years with firm shall be considered<br>with the proof of salary certificate along with the<br>PF certificates issued by the bidders expert | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 07 | Volume– I<br>3.1.4 Similar Assignments Page 65<br>Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Compositing of 600 TPD capacity OR Construction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity AND Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity.<br><br>Annexure V Tentative location of the Package wise Processing plant location | Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of C&D Waste Plant with Wet/ Wet Waste Processing<br>and Treatment through Compositing of 600 TPD<br>capacity /<br>OR<br>Construction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant<br>from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity<br>AND Experience in Collection and Transportation of MSW Work / C& D Waste.<br>OR<br>Construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity.<br>It is mentioned BSWML must procure the land at<br>Terra firma from private owner on standard purchase basis Is the Land already purchased In case the present site land is not purchase, what are the other options provided. This scenario may affect the Tipping charges.<br><br>As the tender is for C&T of MSW and later processing the experience of the C&T shall also be considered. | Tender Condition Prevails |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 08 | Volume II Page 17<br><br>2.3.4 The Authority shall provide a Minimum Waste Guarantee, i.e. minimum amount of waste that shall be delivered to the Transfer Stations (excluding the amount committed to existing plants) equal to 50% of the Planned P&D Capacity in the first 5 years after COD | It is to be noted that the project has a huge investment and it is mentioned that 50% of the Waste shall be provided for 05 first year and it is well aware that the costing of the equipment's will increase and affect the project cost over the long run. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 09 | Volume II Page 76<br>13.2. Remuneration<br>The Authority shall pay the Independent Engineer all fees, costs, charges, and expenses payable to the Independent Engineer in accordance with the terms of its appointment (collectively "the Remuneration"). However, the Authority and Concessionaire shall share this expense equally on a 50:50 basis. The Authority shall recover the pro-rated costs payable to the Independent Engineer a Remuneration from amounts payable to the Concessionaire. | As per Market Practice , the third party Remuneration Independent engineer appointed on behalf of the End User (i.e. in this case BSWML) pays 100% as they are been) | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 10 | Volume -2 , Page 12 Article -2<br>Scope of Work :<br>Generation of revenue from sale of reusables and recyclables (if any), sale of by- products or output from processing of MSW (like gas, electricity, etc.), and generation of financial benefits from carbon credits | As per article -2 scope of work it is mentioned to generate electricity, however Volume –II clause 15.7 it's mentioned: 15.7. Waste to Energy Plant(s) [deleted] | Tender condition prevails |
| 11 | Volume IV – Page 43 to Page 47<br>List of Transfer Stations | It is mentioned that approx. 56 Nos. Transfer station are to be operated and presently few are been operated as per the table by various bidder.<br>We request when these transfer station will handed over to the Concessionaire. This shall help in estimating the cost of the project Will the existing asset will be handed over in new brand condition.<br><br>Is there any assets which will be transfer to the operator, request to share the details? What is the RTO Norms for these type of vehicles for Bangalore City? | Tender condition prevails |
| 12 | Volume III Page 16<br>Regarding Permits for COD : The Concessionaire shall proactively assist the Authority in obtaining all the | As the project shall be installed as per the Authority's requirement at the location, are there any pending litigation of the processing site/ Landfill if any, request to please share | Tender condition prevails |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | required permits. | the details. | |
| | | **2. M/s. HLL Life care Limited.** | |
| 13 | The RFP indicates that the project is to be implemented on a DBFOT model, with the Concessionaire responsible for full financing, construction, and operation of the processing and disposal facilities, and recovery of investment through tipping-fee payments over the concession period. | Considering the significant capital investment required for establishing modern integrated waste processing facilities, the Authority is requested to kindly clarify whether:<br><br>1. Any Viability Gap Funding (VGF) or Capital Grant/Subsidy from BSWML, BBMP, or Government of Karnataka is envisaged under this project to support upfront infrastructure development costs; and<br><br>2. If not envisaged at present, whether the Authority is open to considering a capital co-funding model (for example, partial grant assistance under Swachh Bharat Mission, 15th Finance Commission grants, or CSR-linked infrastructure funding), provided the Concessionaire ensures full operational accountability under the concession agreement. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 14 | As per Article 9.1.1 of the Draft Concession Agreement, the Concessionaire is required to furnish a Performance Security equivalent to 5% of the Total Project Cost at the time of signing the Agreement, which shall remain valid up to the Commercial Operation Date (COD). The same clause further stipulates that 25% of this Performance Security amount shall continue to be retained for the remainder of the Concession Period after COD. | While we fully appreciate the need for maintaining adequate performance assurance during construction and early operations, the long-term retention of a significant portion of the Performance Security for the entire 18-year O&M period substantially locks up capital and adversely impacts project bankability and cash flow.<br><br>In similar long-tenure DBFOT projects (e.g., under Smart City Mission, 15th Finance Commission, and State SWM PPP frameworks), the retention requirement is either fully released upon achievement of COD or phased out within the initial one to three years of successful operation, once the project has demonstrated compliance with KPIs.<br><br>We therefore request the Authority to kindly clarify and consider:<br><br>Whether the retention of 25% of the | Tender condition prevails |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Performance Security post-COD may be exempted altogether upon issuance of the COD certificate; or<br>Alternatively, whether the Authority would permit a phased release mechanism, wherein the retained amount is progressively released over the first three operational years subject to satisfactory KPI compliance and submission of the O&M Security. | |
| 15 | The RFP and Draft Concession Agreement specify that the Concessionaire shall design, build, finance, and commission all required infrastructure within the stipulated construction period of 24 months, covering secondary transportation systems, processing facilities, and disposal components. | Given the significant scale of investment envisaged under this package and the fact that similar Integrated Solid Waste Management projects implemented by other municipal corporations have permitted phased CAPEX investment and infrastructure commissioning, we request the Authority to kindly clarify the following:<br><br>Whether the entire CAPEX investment (including processing, transport, and landfill components) is expected to be completed upfront within 24 months, or<br>Whether the Authority would consider allowing a phased investment model—for instance,<br>Phase I (Years 1–2): Core processing & transport infrastructure for baseline waste volume, and<br>Phase II (Years 3–4): Capacity augmentation aligned with actual waste growth and operational performance | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| **3. <u>M/s. Brij Gopal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.</u>** | | | |
| 16 | DCA Article 10<br><br>The Sites | We understand authority will provide land free of any taxes and any fees for development of Integrated Solid waste management Facility as this is on permissive land use basis. Request Authority to confirm | Tender condition prevails |
| 17 | RFP Form 2A<br><br>If the total tipping fee is Rs. 100/TPD, the tipping fee towards Secondary Transportation along with all support infrastructure shall be 50% of the same (i.e., Rs 50/TPD) and Processing & Disposal (P&D) along with all infrastructure shall be 50% of total tipping fee (i.e., Rs.50/TPD) | We submit to authority that as per investment, this should be designed and minimum 80 % of the weightage to be given to the Processing and Disposal work and 20% to the C&T works. | Tender condition prevails |
| 18 | DCA Article 2<br><br>Site Utilities | We request authority to provide us all the site utilities to the gate of the facility. This includes water connection, electricity connection, gas connection and Power | Tender condition prevails |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | evacuation line, Sewerage connection etc. necessary for the development and 0&M of the Facility. | |
| 19 | DCA Article 2<br><br>Bulk waste Generators | We submit to authority that authority to confirm and clarify on the part the Entire BWG's in the project jurisdiction will be in the scope of the selected concessionaire. | Tender condition prevails |
| 20 | DCA Article 2<br><br>Bulk waste Generators | We submit to authority for deletion of royalty payment to Authority for waste processing and disposal. | Tender Conditions Prevail. |
| 21 | RFP Point 2.16.2<br><br>Performance certificate should be issued from the concerned client(s) (certificate issued by the Executive Engineer or equivalent officer) shall only be considered | Not for all PPP projects the certificate can be signed by EE, thus we request authority to accept the certificate from the developer as well for the project executed in foreign entities. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 22 | RFP Point 2.26<br><br>The Authority will not normally consider any request of the Selected Bidder for substitution of Key Personnel as the scoring of the Bidder is based on the evaluation of Key Personnel and any change therein may upset their technical eligibility. Substitution will, however, be permitted if the Key Personnel is not available for reasons of any incapacity or due to health, subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. | We submit to authority for deletion of Key Personnel at the bidding stage. We will provide such details post LOA. | Tender condition prevails |
| 23 | RFP Point 3.1.3 A1 and A2<br><br>Letter of Acceptance/ Work order, Agreements, Completion certificate and Work done certificates and certificates for the satisfactory completion of the scope of the deliverable and KPI achievements with financial target achievements if any | We would like to submit to authority that for the evaluation purpose authority may accept Consent to Operate (CTO) issued by PCB as a certificate for claiming the credential certificate. | Tender condition prevails |
| 24 | RFP Point 3.1.3 A1 and A2<br><br>Letter of Acceptance/ Work order, Agreements, Completion certificate and Work done certificates | We understand any of the Letter of acceptance/ Work order/ Agreements/ Completion Certificate/ work done certificate will suffice requirement for evaluation. Authority may kindly confirm. | Refer Addendum-1 |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 25 | RFP NIT<br><br>EMD | We submit Authority to reduce EMD amount. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 26 | RFP Point 3.1.3<br><br>proof of salary certificate along with the PF certificates issued by the bidders | This are highly confidential documents and is difficult to obtain such documents. Thus we submit to authority for deletion of such provisions. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 27 | DCA Article 9<br><br>PBG | The value of PBG is very high. Requesting Authority to limit it to 2%. And Limit 0&M securities to 1% of the Project Capital cost. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 28 | DCA Point 9.10<br><br>Mobilization Advance | We submit to authority to give us 50% of the approved Capex as the mobilization advance for smooth start of the project, since this project is to be developed on PPP mode. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 29 | RFP Appendix II<br><br>Financial Proposal | On website we cannot upload this. Authority to confirm the mechanism of submission of such documents. However, as per document, we cannot disclose any financial value. How can Appendix II stand Valid? | Tender condition prevails |
| 30 | RFP Appendix II DCA Clause 18.1.6<br><br>The Tipping Fee rate shall be escalated at the rate of 5 per cent year-on-year, every year after the 1st year post COD. | We submit authority to increase Price escalation by 10% Y.o.Y, as world dynamics are changing every day due to increase in tariff etc. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 31 | DCA Clause 9.1<br><br>the Performance Security shall be reduced to 25% (twenty-five per cent) till the end of Concession Period or till the Termination Date, | We request authority should release entire Performance security amount within 30 days of completion of Partial COD. | Tender condition prevails |
| 32 | DCA Point 15.12<br><br>Milestone | We submit to authority to consider the milestone to 3 years from obtaining Environmental Clearance. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 33 | DCA Article 19<br><br>Penalties | We submit to authority to have a ceiling on the total penalty per month. This ceiling may be fixed to 0.5% of the Billing amount. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 34 | Addendum<br><br>Pre-bid Replies/ Addendum | We understand that all the addendums, pre bid replies and corrigendum's published on Karnataka Procurement portal will become part of DCA. | Tender condition prevails |
| 35 | DCA Point 21.6.1<br>Upon occurrence of any Force Majeure Event prior to the COD, the Parties shall bear their respective costs, and no Party shall be required to pay to the other Party any costs thereof. | We submit to authority that, this should be as per standard clause. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 36 | DCA Point 11.3<br><br>The Authority shall assist the Concessionaire in obtaining the Applicable Permits for felling of trees in the project site | We submit to authority that Authority to obtain all the necessary approval with respect to this clause as, it will be very much difficult for any private party to obtain such approvals. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 37 | DCA Point 19.2 (a) KPI Schedule Waste Segregation Segregated waste must not be mixed together and waste must not be segregated at the Transfer Station 10% | We submit Authority to delete this clause as waste segregation will be done by primary C&T Contractor. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| | **4. M/s. Greenesol Power Systems Pvt. Ltd.** | | |
| 38 | RFP Point — 9 Page — 14<br><br>The payment break-up as per the payment schedule vide Article-18 of the Draft Concession Agreement is as follows: If the total tipping fee is Rs. 100/TPD, the tipping fee toward Secondary Transportation along with all support infrastructure shall be 50% of the same (i.e., Rs operation expenditure in 50/TPD) and processing Disposal (P&D) along with all infrastructure shall be 50% of total tipping fee (i.e., Rs. 50/TPD). | Compared to the cost proportion of scope of work / project activity. The payment break up of 50% for Secondary Collection and Transportation and 50% for Processing and Disposal is not standard and justified because Secondary Collection and Transportations has huge manpower component and regular operation expenditure in nature, whereas the processing and disposal activity requires huge capital expenditure.<br><br>So, it is suggested to consider the tipping break up 40% for Secondary Collection and Transportation and 60% for Processing and Disposal. | Tender condition prevails |
| 39 | RFP Point 2.16.1m Page — 41<br>Each Bidder shall also submit a hard copy of the original Bid Security, Power of Attorney, Joint Bidding Agreement, Sub-concessionaire Undertaking (if applicable) to the Authority, before the Proposal Due Date | Please indicate the exact date and time for submitting the hard copy.<br><br>Usually, it should be after online submission of the bid. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| | **5. M/s. Urbanloop Resource Management company.** | | |
| 40 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments Page 66<br><br>The similar Assignments should be in operation for a continuous three years or more in India or abroad in the period of the past five years from the PDD under the following: | Since this is the Fourth call of the ISWM tender and very few bidders have three years of operational experience, we request the Authority to reduce the requirement to one (1) year encourage wider participation and competitive to bidding. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 41 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments Page 66<br><br>Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Composting of 600 TPD | As this is the fourth call and only a few bidders have experience in composting, we request the Authority to reduce the requirement to Construction and O&M of the Composting Plant of 300 TPD capacity. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | capacity<br>OR<br>Construction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity. | | |
| 42 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments Page 66<br><br>Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Composting of 600 TPD capacity OR Construction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity.<br>AND<br>Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity. | We request to allow the submission of an MoU with the OEM to meet technical eligibility requirements and enable wider and more competitive participation. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 43 | Bid submission end date: 27.11.2025 | Request to provide 30 days' time to submit. | Refer Corrigendum-1 |
| | **6. M/s. Antony Lara Enviro Solutions Pvt. Ltd.** | | |
| 44 | Clause 3.1.3 (a) Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria<br>Sub-Section A.1: Transportation Sl. No 1, Page No: 56<br><br>Number of similar assignments:<br><br>Bidder should have the experience of having successfully completed similar assignments of minimum 1,500 TPD for secondary transportation using compactor/container/ equivalent to transport municipal waste to processing facilities or landfill sites:<br>a. More than 3 (three) projects: 6 marks OR<br>b. 2 (two) projects: 4 marks OR<br>c. 1(one) project: 2 marks | In India, only one or two municipal corporations have awarded a single work order for collection and transportation of waste with a capacity of 1,500 TPD or above. No other corporation has issued work orders of higher capacity at this scale.<br><br>This requirement may therefore restrict participation from experienced bidders who are currently handling multiple waste management projects of smaller but significant capacities across various cities.<br><br>In view of the above, we kindly request the Authority to consider and revise the technical evaluation criteria to allow cumulative experience, as detailed below for your kind consideration:<br><br>Proposed Revision -<br><br>Number of similar assignments:<br><br>Bidder should have the cumulative experience of having successfully | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Ongoing/completed work for the Collection & transportation using compactor/container/ equivalent to transport municipal waste to processing facilities or landfill sites:<br><br>a) Experience in handling 80% or higher quantity of the respective package- 6 Marks<br><br>b) Experience in handling 50% or higher quantity of the respective package. -4 marks<br><br>c) Experience in handling 40% or higher quantity of the respective package. -2 marks | |
| 45 | Section A2-Sl. No. II Page No: 57<br><br>If the bidder successfully constructed and O&M of the Bio-gas plant of 600TPD/ Composting plant for the MSW of at least 1200TPD: 6 Marks | We wish to bring to your kind notice that as per Clause 3.1.4 (Eligibility Criteria), the threshold capacity requirements defined for eligibility are lower than those specified under the marking criteria.<br><br>Hence, even a bidder who fully meets the eligibility conditions under Clause 3.1.4 will not receive any marks for this parameter, as the minimum capacity required for marking (600/1,200 TPD) is substantially higher. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 46 | Section A2-Sl. No. III Page No: 57<br><br>Other relevant assignments or similar work: If bidder have executed SWM projects for Processing of the MSW through any approved technologies by any statutory body in India or abroad are executed on DBFOT model with minimum 50% of the bidder's investment for project size of minimum 250 TPD during last 5 years and project should be in operational condition as on PDD.<br><br>2 projects: 9 marks, OR<br>1 Project: 6 Marks | We wish to bring to your kind notice that processing and disposal projects under PPP/DBFOT models are typically awarded for long concession periods of 25-30 years, and many reputed projects are still ongoing.<br><br>Since such projects involve significant investment by the bidder and remain operational for extended durations, restricting eligibility to projects completed or awarded within the last 5 years will unnecessarily limit competition and exclude technically qualified concessionaires currently operating large-scale SWM facilities.<br><br>Furthermore, since the present BSWML ISWM project itself has a 30-year concession period, similar long-term projects would not qualify in future tenders if the same 5-year cap continues to be applied.<br><br>Request for Modification:<br><br>Other relevant assignments or similar work:<br><br>If bidder have executed SWM projects for Processing of the MSW through any approved technologies by any statutory body | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | in India or abroad are executed on DBFOT model with minimum 50% of the bidder's investment for project size of minimum 250 TPD and project should be in operational condition as on PDD.<br><br>2 projects: 9 marks, OR<br>1 Project: 6 Marks<br><br>This change will allow inclusion of experienced and credible concessionaires actively operating long-term PPP-based MSW processing projects, thereby ensuring fair and competitive participation. | |
| 47 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments Page No: 61/65<br><br>The similar Assignments should be in operation for continuous three years or more in India or Abroad in the period of past five years from the PDD under the following: | Since this is the third call for the tender, it is observed that very few bidders possess similar operational experience of three years within the past five years for large-scale SWM projects. This condition may significantly limit participation and restrict competition.<br><br>We therefore request the Authority to reduce the required operational period to two (2) years.<br><br>This modification will encourage participation from competent and experienced bidders, promote healthy competition, and result in more competitive financial bids, thereby reducing the overall financial burden on BSWML.<br><br>Request to Modify as:<br><br>The similar assignments should be in operation for a continuous period of two (2) years or more in India or abroad, and the assignment should be in operational stage as on the Proposal Due Date (PDD) under the following: | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 48 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments Page No: 61/65<br>Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Compositing of 600 TPD capacity<br><br>OR<br><br>Construction and O&M of Bio- | Since this is the third call for the tender, it is observed that very few bidders possess the required experience in large-scale wet waste processing technology through composting.<br><br>In the second call, the Authority had already provided relaxation for Bio-methanation plant experience from 600 TPD to 300 TPD, thereby enabling wider participation from bidders with that technology. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity. | We therefore request the Authority to reduce the experience requirement for composting technology as follows:<br><br>Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Compositing of 500 TPD capacity<br><br>Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Compositing of 600 TPD capacity<br><br>This relaxation, in line with the approach taken for Bio-methanation technology, will help broaden bidder participation, encourage competent players, and ensure healthy competition in this third call of the tender. | |
| 49 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments Page No: 61/65<br><br>Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity.<br><br>OR Construction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity.<br><br>AND<br><br>Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity. | The current RFP does not allow submission of a Teaming Agreement / Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) to meet the technical eligibility criteria.<br><br>In the second call of this tender, the Authority had permitted bidders to submit a Teaming Agreement / MoU with the OEM for meeting technical eligibility requirements. Unfortunately, this provision was removed after the pre-bid meeting, which led to the withdrawal of several interested and technically capable bidders. This was one of the key reasons for the limited participation and eventual failure of the second call.<br><br>We would also like to highlight that this approach has been successfully implemented in your previous tender for Construction and Demolition Waste Processing and Disposal, which led to better participation and competition.<br><br>We therefore request the Authority to kindly reconsider and allow submission of a valid Teaming Agreement /MoU with the OEM for meeting technical eligibility requirements in the third call, to ensure wider and more competitive participation. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 50 | General/Scope of Work<br><br>Power Evacuation Responsibility | In case the bidder proposes to implement Waste-to-Energy (WtE) technology, kindly clarify the following:<br><br>1. Which is the nearest substation identified for power evacuation from the proposed WtE facility site under the respective package (North / South)?<br><br>2. What is the approximate distance of the substation from the project site?<br><br>3. What level of support or facilitation will be provided by the Tendering Authority / BSWML for obtaining power evacuation approvals, grid connectivity, and related infrastructure? | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 51 | General<br><br>Request for VGF Support | Considering the high capital investment required for infrastructure, vehicles, and processing systems, we request the Authority to provide Viability Gap Funding (VGF) of at least 30% of the capital cost.<br><br>This support will help improve project bankability and attract more competitive bids. Kindly consider | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 52 | General / Scope of Work<br><br>Water supply Connection | We request the Authority to provide a water connection up to the plant gate to ensure adequate water availability for plant operations. | Tender condition prevails |
| 53 | General / Scope of Work<br><br>Power tariff | We request the Authority to kindly provide the applicable power tariff for sale of electricity generated from the Waste to Energy plant. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 54 | Bid due date: 27-11-2025 16:00:00 | We request the Authority to extend the bid submission date by at least 20 days from the date of issuance of clarifications to enable preparation of a comprehensive and competitive bid, ensuring the success of this third call. | Refer Corrigendum-1 |
| | **7. MSGP INFRA TECH PVT.LTD.** | | |
| 55 | 3. Criteria for Evaluation<br>3.1.3 (a) The detailed scoring criteria to be used for evaluation is as follows:<br>Clause 3.1.3 (a) Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria<br>Section A: Experience of the Concessionaire<br>I. Number assignments: of similar | We respectfully submit that the current marking system under the technical evaluation criteria is highly stringent and may discourage participation from capable and experienced bidders. Such restrictive conditions could inadvertently limit competition and appear to favor certain entities, thereby reducing the overall quality and diversity of bids. To promote fair competition and ensure wider participation | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Bidder should have the experience of having successfully completed similar assignments or have a similar ongoing project since the last 36 month of minimum 1,500 TPD transportation to transport municipal waste to processing facilities or landfill sites, with minimum 12 transfer stations<br>Page No. 56<br>a. More than 3 (three) projects: 6 marks OR<br><br>b. 2 (two) projects: 4 marks OR<br><br>c. 1(one) project: 2 marks | from competent bidders with relevant experience, we request the Authority to generalize and rationalize the marking criteria as follows:<br><br>Revised Technical Evaluation Criteria - Number of Similar Assignments:<br><br>The bidder should have cumulative experience of successfully executing or currently undertaking work related to the collection and transportation of municipal waste using compactors, containers, or equivalent systems to processing or landfill sites:<br>a) Experience in handling 80% or more of the respective package quantity - 6 Marks<br><br>b) Experience in handling 50% or more of the respective package quantity-4 Marks<br><br>c) Experience in handling 30% or more of the respective package quantity-2 Marks<br><br>This modification will ensure that genuinely competent and technically capable bidders can participate, fostering transparency, fair competition, and better project outcomes. | |
| 56 | 3. Criteria for Evaluation<br><br>3.1.3 (a) The detailed scoring criteria to be used for evaluation is as follows:<br>Clause 3.1.3 (a) Technical Bid<br><br>Evaluation Criteria<br><br>Section A2 Sub-Section A-2 Processing & Disposal (P&D)<br><br>I. If the bidder successfully constructed and done O&M of a WTE plant for the generation of electricity with minimum size of the plant being 14MW during last 5 years and the plant is running successfully as on PDD as per Clause 3.1.3:6 Marks<br>OR<br>If the bidder successfully constructed and O&M of the Bio- gas plant of 600TPD /Composting plant for the MSW of atleast 1200TPD: 6Marks<br>Page 56 | We wish to highlight that processing and disposal projects are long-term and many reputed ones are still operational. Hence, restricting eligibility to projects executed within the last five years is impractical.<br><br>We strongly request removal of this cap and modification of the criteria to include construction and maintenance of plants in any financial year, provided they are currently operational.<br><br>Such relaxation will ensure fair competition and prevent the tender from favoring specific entities, especially since this is already the fourth (officially Call-3) tender attempt. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 57 | 3. Criteria for Evaluation<br><br>3.1.3 (a) The detailed scoring criteria to be used for evaluation is as follows:<br><br>Section A2:<br><br>Sub-Section A-2:<br><br>Processing & Disposal (P&D)<br><br>III. Other relevant assignments or<br><br>similar work:<br><br>If bidder have executed SWM projects for Processing of the MSW through any approved technologies by any statutory body in India or abroad are executed on DBFOT model with minimum 50% of the bidder's investment for project size of minimum 250 TPD during last 5 years and project should be in operational condition as on PDD.<br>2 projects: 9 marks, OR<br>1 Project: 6 Marks<br><br>Page 57 | We wish to bring to your kind attention that processing and disposal projects are generally awarded for long concession periods of 20-30 years, and many such reputed projects are currently ongoing.<br><br>Therefore, we respectfully request that the project duration should not be capped for 5years while assessing eligibility, at least for the purpose of technical marking, so that ongoing long-term projects with proven performance are duly recognised and considered. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 58 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments<br><br>Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Composting of 600 TPD capacity<br><br>OR<br><br>Construction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity.<br><br>AND<br><br>Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity.<br>Page 66-67 | We request confirmation that the scope of work does not mandate any specific technology for waste processing and disposal (such as Waste-to-Energy or Bio-CNG). It has been clearly stated that the selection of technology is at the bidder's discretion, subject to compliance with the SWM Rules, 2016 and relevant approvals.<br><br>In this context, we kindly request the Authority to remove the mandatory requirement for prior experience specifically in Waste-to-Energy and Bio-CNG technologies, and instead revise the clause to accept experience in any proven MSW processing technology.<br><br>Accordingly, we propose the clause be modified as follows:<br><br>"Experience in the Construction and Operation & Maintenance of Wet Waste | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Processing and Treatment through Composting of 300 TPD capacity, OR<br><br>Construction and Operation & Maintenance of Bio-methanation Plant from MSW of 300 TPD capacity."<br>Maintaining both criteria at 300 TPD will ensure uniformity, as both types of facilities require comparable levels of effort and resources regardless of the end products. | |
| 58 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments<br><br>Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity.<br><br>Page 67 | We respectfully request that the OEM's experience be considered and retained for qualification purposes, as the tender conditions already require the concessionaire to execute a Teaming Agreement with the OEM for technology supply and a minimum of five years of O&M support, and given that the concessionaire will be making a substantial capital investment in the project, it will inherently ensure that the project's performance and outcomes are not compromised. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 59 | 3.1.4 Similar Assignments<br><br>The similar Assignments should be in operation for a continuous three years or more in India or abroad in the period of past five years from the PDD under the following:<br><br>Page 66 | We strongly urge the Authority to modify the clause as follows:<br><br>"Similar assignments should have been in continuous operation for a minimum period of 12 months or more, either in India or abroad, within the last five years from the Proposal Due Date (PDD)." This modification is essential to ensure that practical, proven, and relevant operational experience is duly recognised, rather than restricting eligibility through overly narrow or ambiguous criteria. It will also help attract competent and credible bidders with genuine field experience, thereby enhancing competition and ensuring the successful execution of the project. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 60 | 3.1.5 Financial Capacity<br><br>e) Turnover: The bidder/JV/Consortium is required to meet the turnover of a minimum 40% of the<br>Estimated Project Cost during any two years during last five years indicated in the Invitation for Tender. If the Bidder is a JV/Consortium, then the Turnover, as required in Clause 3.1.5 (d) above shall be demonstrated cumulatively, i.e., the Consortium as a whole should | As per the KPPP Portal, bidders are required to demonstrate a financial turnover of at least 50% of the Estimated Project Cost in any two years during the last five financial years, whereas the tender document here specifies 40%. We request the Authority to kindly clarify this discrepancy. | Tender condition prevails as per RFP. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | meet the requirement.<br><br>Page 68 | | |
| | **8. M/s. GREENESOL POWER SYSTEMS PVT. LTD.** | | |
| 61 | RFP Point – 9 Page – 14<br><br>The payment break-up as per the payment schedule vide Article-18 of the Draft Concession Agreement is as follows:<br><br>If the total tipping fee is Rs. 100/TPD, the tipping fee towards Secondary Transportation along with all support infrastructure shall be 50% of the same (i.e., Rs 50/TPD) and Processing & Disposal (P&D) along with all infrastructure shall be 50% of total tipping fee (i.e., Rs.50/TPD). | Compared to the cost proportion of scope of work / project activity. The payment break up of 50% for Secondary Collection and Transportation and 50% for Processing and Disposal is not standard and justified because Secondary Collection and Transportations has huge manpower component and regular operation expenditure in nature, whereas the processing and disposal activity requires huge capital expenditure.<br><br>So, it is suggested to consider the tipping break up 40% for Secondary Collection and Transportation and 60% for Processing and Disposal. | Tender condition prevails |
| 62 | RFP Point – 2.16.1m Page – 41<br><br>Each Bidder shall also submit a hard copy of the original Bid Security, Power of Attorney, Joint Bidding Agreement, Sub-concessionaire Undertaking (if applicable) to the Authority, before the Proposal Due Date. | Please indicate the exact date and time for submitting the hard copy.<br><br>Usually, it should be after online submission of the bid. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 63 | RFP Point – 2.16.2.e.i Page – 42<br><br>Performance certificate should be issued from the concerned client(s) (certificate issued by the Executive Engineer or equivalent officer) shall only be considered; and | Generally, in foreign countries esp. in China, such Waste Management Companies are also state-owned entities. Hence tenderer is also state owned entity and developer to whom projects are allocated are majorly state owned entities only.<br><br>And when tenders are called, only reputed and established enterprises are called to bid for such waste management projects. And once tender is awarded, the developer take over 100% control over the project in terms of funding, design, develop, building, operate basis for a long term basis of 25-30 years;<br><br>Hence waste management project or any social development projects are established through franchise model or through social capital holding models, wherein the local government doesn't have role during construction and operation the project of because these projects are established without | Refer Addendum-1 |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | any financial implication on the local government.<br><br>Hence it is requested to the authority to accept the Performance / Work Done Certificate from the developer (owner) of the project / plant which can be authenticated and verified as well. | |
| 64 | RFP Point 3.1.3(a) Page — 56<br>Supporting Documents: Letter of Acceptance/ Work order, Agreements, Completion certificate and Work done certificates | In foreign countries (also as explained above) certain waste management projects / social development projects are developed based on the own capital investment and recovering the opex directly from the waste generator revenue. So, there will not be a length process of issuing of Letter of Award, Entering into Agreement and Issuance of Completion Certificate.<br>So, we request the to either of the following documents<br>1. Work Order Copy with Work Done Certificate<br>Or<br>2. Agreement Copy with Work Done Certificate. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 65 | RFP Point-3.1.3(a) Page — 57<br><br>Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria: Section A2 (Point III)."Other relevant assignments or similar work: If bidder have executed SWM projects for Processing of the MSW through any approved technologies by any statutory body in India or abroad are executed on DBFOT model with minimum 50% of the bidder's investment for project size of minimum 250 TPD during last 5 years and project should be in operational condition as on PDD." | DBFOT Project is one of the model of PPP, there are several other project models such as<br><br>a. BOT : Build, Operate and Transfer<br>b. BOO : Build, Own Operate<br>c. BOOT : Build, Own, Operate and Transfer<br>d. DBF : Design, Build and Finance.<br>e. DBFO : Design, Build, Finance and Operate<br>f. DBO : Design, Build, Operate<br>g. DBFOT : Design, Build, Finance, Operate and Transfer<br>So we request the authority to consider all the models of PPP for evaluation / marking. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 66 | RFP Point- 3.1.3(b) Page — 60<br><br>Note- 4 : Number of years with firm shall be considered with the proof of salary certificate along with the PF certificates issued by the bidders | Salary Certificate and PF Certificate are confidential documents of the employee, and it is against the company policy to circulate and to provide. Hence, we request the authority to remove this provision and evaluate based on the resume and academic certificates. | Refer Addendum-1 |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 67 | RFP Appendix —I Page — 79<br><br>Form : 4A (Power of Attorney for signing of Proposal)<br>3. For a Power of Attorney executed and issued overseas, the document will also have to be legalised by the Indian Embassy and notarised in the jurisdiction where the Power of Attorney is being issued. However, Bidders from countries that have signed the Hague Legislation Convention 1961 need not get their Power of Attorney legalised by the Indian Embassy if it carries a conforming Apostille certificate. | Getting the international documents (such as POA's and Joint BiddingAgreement) legalized by the Indian Embassy available in respective foreign countries is very length process of min. 60 days and few embassy's don't initiate the process because of non-availability of procedures and staff in respective foreign country.<br><br>So, we request the authority to consider the authentication of Documents through notarization procedure as per the jurisdiction of respective countries, where the documents are executed. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 68 | RFP Appendix — I Page — 82<br><br>Form-4B: Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture / Consortium / proprietorship incorporated.<br><br>Point :3 - For a Power of Attorney executed and issued overseas, the document will also have to be legalized by the Indian Embassy and notarized in the jurisdiction where the Power of Attorney is being issued. However, Bidders from countries that have signed the Hague Legislation Convention 1961 need not get their Power of Attorney legalized by the Indian Embassy if it carries a conforming Apostille certificate. | | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 69 | RFP Appendix — I Page — 98<br><br>Form-9: Format for Joint Bidding Agreement.<br>Note — 3 : For a Joint Bidding Agreement executed and issued overseas, the document shall be legalized by the Indian Embassy and notarized in the jurisdiction where the Power of Attorney has been executed. | Getting the international documents (such as POA's and Joint BiddingAgreement) legalizedby the Indian Embassy available in respective foreign countries is very length process of 60 days minimum and few embassy's don't initiate the process because of non availability of procedures and staff in respective foreign country.<br>So, we request the authority to consider the authentication of documents through notarization procedure as per the jurisdiction of respective countries, where the documents are executed. | Tender Condition Prevails |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 70 | Proposed Site | Please confirm the ownership of the proposed sites. It is with the BSWSML or the process of acquiring the site in progress. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 71 | Site Connection : Power Evacuation Line | Request the authority to take the responsibility of providing the power evacuation line till the proposed site limits. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 72 | DCA Pg 16, Clause 2.2.3<br><br>Since this is a commercial activity conducted on government land, the Concessionaire shall remit INR 800 per TPD of bulk waste handled. This amount shall be escalated by 5% every year in line with the escalation in the tipping fee). | As the concessionaire is investing significantly in infrastructure development for scientific processing and disposal of Municipal Solid Waste imposing additional charge of Rs. 800/- per TPD, escalated annually will severely affect the project viability.<br>Hence this clause to be deleted and concessionaire should be given all rights to handle and process the waste from bulk waste generators. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 73 | DCA Pg 57, Article 9<br><br>9.1 Performance Security<br>9.2 ESHS Performance Security<br>9.3 Operation and Mantenance Period Security | Authority should consider merging all the separate securities mentioned under Clauses 9.1 (Performance Security), 9.2 (ESHS Performance Security), and 9.3 (Operation and Maintenance Period Security) into a single Performance Security equivalent to 5% of the Annual Contract Value. Requiring multiple securities imposes a financial / transaction amount of the banker burden on the Concessionaire. The same purpose of securing performance and compliance, a single security would ensure efficiency without compromising performance or other aspects of the project. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 74 | DCA Pg 14, Clause 2.2.1<br><br>Wherever a Transfer Station doesn't currently exist, Authority shall be responsible to construct and handover Transfer Stations to the Concessionaire at their own cost, including civil structures, machinery, containers, hookloaders, etc. The Authority plans to develop such Transfer Stations in this package within the next 1-2 years. | Please consider the construction of new transfer station by the concessionaire itself with a provision of capital grant for the same. As this will ensure integration of optimized design suitable and utilization for the operational needs. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 75 | DCA Pg 20, Clause 2.4.2<br><br>The Concessionaire shall also develop waste management facilities for the treatment and disposal of dead animals, sanitary waste, and any other waste types as authorized by the Authority as per the SWM Rules of 2016. | What is the payment mechanism for their treatment and disposal of Dead Animals? | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 76 | DCA Pg 127, Cl 18.3<br><br>Any Taxes payable in relation to the Sites shall be borne by the Authority. (Deleted) | All kind of the property tax liabilities applicable for land provided for the processing site, transfer stations, and all other allied facilities shall be borne by the Authority as this facility developed for the public requirement. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 77 | DCA Pg 45, Clause 2.16.5<br><br>The tipping fee rates quoted in the Financial shall be for the first year after COD, after which an annual escalation of 5% on the accepted rate shall be made as per the Concession Agreement. | Kindly consider to revise the escalation of 3% + WPI based on the previous year. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 78 | DCA Pg 63, Clause 10.1.1<br><br>The Sites of the Project Facilities shall comprise of the land as described in Schedule 8, and in respect of which the Rights of Way shall be provided and granted by the Authority to the Concessionaire | Kindly confirm that the additional land shall be provided by the Authority to accommodate future expansion needs of the facilities, considering the long concession period of 30 years and anticipated increase in waste generation due to population growth and urbanization. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 79 | Viability Gap Funding | Kindly consider providing Viability Gap Funding (VGF) support for the Integrated Solid Waste Management (ISWM) project to make the project financially viable given the high capital investment required for setting up processing, disposal, and associated infrastructure. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 80 | Extension of Submission Date | We request to extend the submission date for further 4 weeks from the current submission date. | Refer Corrigendum-1 |
| | | **9. PRN Infratech** | |
| 81 | Last date for submission of bid through KPPP is 27.11.2025 | Requested to extend at least 20 more days of last date of bids submission. | Refer Corrigendum-1 |
| 82 | Volume-I, Page No: 67 clause B 3.1.5 (e) – Turnover The bidder/JV /Consortium is required to meet the turnover of minimum 40% of the estimated cost during any two years during last five years indicated in the Invitation for tender. If the bidder is a JV/ Consortium, then the turnover as required in clause 3.1.5 (e) above shall be demonstrated cumulatively, i.e. the consortium as a whole should meet the requirement. | We request reduce required turnover to minimum 30% of the estimated project cost during any two years during last five years indicated in the invitation for tender. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | **10. Online Queries** | |
| 83 | RFP Pg. No-130 | As per the RFP, the tentative land availability is indicated as 90 acres approx. for the North Package and 100 acres approx. for the South Package. However, during our site visit and survey, we observed that the land earmarked for the North Package is only about 40 acres and is currently under possession of a private party, while the land available for the South Package is limited to approximately 15 acres. We request the Authority to kindly clarify In case of any shortfall in land availability, or change in the location/size of the site, what mechanism or alternative arrangement will be adopted by the Authority to ensure project viability. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 84 | Vol-I DCA Clause 18.1.6 | we propose that the ratio of Secondary C&T to P and D be revised to 30 70 ratio respectively as P and D has huge CAPEX investment with less of returns. Please modify accordingly. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 85 | Vol-I DCA Clause 25.4 | The Termination compensation due to such events should have a provision of 150 Equity infused 110 Debt Due Net Tipping Fee. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 86 | Vol-I DCA Page 31 Clause 4.1.3 | Kindly change the provisioning of all the utilities like Power connection, sewer, drainage, motorable roads, boundary wall, water supply and other appurtenant to the project facilities i.e. site(s) by the authority. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 87 | Vol-I RFP | Past Experience Similar Assignments For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the following projects shall be deemed as similar assignments. The similar Assignments should be in operation for continuous three years or more in India or Abroad in the period of past five years from the PDD under the following. Experience towards Construction and Operations Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Compositing of 600 TPD capacity OR Construction and O&M of Bio methanation plant from MSW waste of 600 TPD capacity. AND Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity. RFP Clause 3.1.4 Similar Assignments For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the | Tender Condition Prevails as per RFP. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | following projects shall be deemed as similar assignments the Eligible Assignments. The similar Assignments should be in operation for continuous three years or more in India or Abroad in the period of past five years from the PDD under the following Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Composting of 600 TPD capacity OR Constuction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity. | |
| 88 | Vol-I RFP Clause 3.1.3(a) A-II | There is no such clause 3.1.4(b). Kindly confirm. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 89 | Vol-I RFP Appendix II Page 111 | There is no such option of uploading documents (Forms 1, 2A2 B,3 and Appendix II) mentioned under Financial Proposal at KPP Portal. Kindly confirm, whether these forms are deleted or to be submitted Blank along with Technical Bid as we cannot disclose any financial numbers in the Technical Proposal. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 90 | Vol-I RFP Clause 2.16.5 DCA Clause 18.1.6 | Please modify the same to Price escalation 5 Percent year on year on previous year tipping fee Plus WPI | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 91 | Vol-I RFP Clause 2.2.2 | The said Key Personnel will continue to be available during the Concession Period as agreed under the Concession Agreement. In the event of any such Key Personnel leaving the Bidder selected under this RFP during the Concession Period, he/she shall be replaced by a person with equivalent qualification and experience. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 92 | Vol-I RFP Clause 2.2.2 | We request Authority to delete provision of Key Personnel. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 93 | Vol-I RFP Clause 3.1.3 (c) Page 64 | Salary slips and PF certificates are very confidential and will be a privacy concern and hence we request Authority to delete such provision. No of Years can be confirmed from CV as well. | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 94 | Vol-I RFP Clause 3.1.3 A A2(II) | This is in contradiction to the qualification sought in 3.1.4, we request Provision of AND to be kept in line with the similar assignment sought for P&D in clause 3.1.4 | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 95 | Vol-I RFP Clause 3.1.3 A A2(II) | This is in contradiction to the qualification sought in 3.1.4, we request Provision of AND to be kept in line with the similar assignment sought for P&D in clause 3.1.4 | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 96 | Vol-I RFP Clause 3.1.4 | Similar Assignment | Tender Conditions Prevails |
| 97 | Vol-I RFP Clause 3.1.4 | There is difference in qualification sought in NIT at KPPP and RFP Please confirm, whether it is 300 TPD for Biomethanation or 600 TPD. | Tender Condition Prevails as per RFP. |
| 98 | Vol-I RFP Clause 3.1.4 | We request Authority to give provision of Similar Assignment for C&T as well to bring clarity. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 100 | Vol-I RFP DCA Schedule | We are going to submit our detailed Pre-bid Queries through email. Kindly consider. Thank you. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 101 | NIT RFP<br><br>NIT Clause KPPP: Past Experience Similar Assignments For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the following projects shall be deemed as similar assignments. The similar Assignments should be in operation for continuous three years or more in India or Abroad in the period of past five years from the PDD under the following. Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Compositing of 600 TPD capacity OR Construction and O&M of Bio methanation plant from MSW waste of 600 TPD capacity. AND Experience in construction and O&M of Waste to Energy plant to generate electricity from MSW of 7MW capacity.<br><br>RFP Clause 3.1.4<br><br>Similar Assignments For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the following projects shall be deemed as similar assignments (the "Eligible Assignments"). The similar Assignments should be in operation for continuous three years or more in India or Abroad in the period of past five years from the PDD under the | There is difference in technical qualification sought in E Tender Notification of KTPP and in the RFP. So please confirm, whether it is 300 TPD of Bio-methanation or 600 TPD of Biomethanation is required under similar assignment (clause : 3.1.4) | Tender Condition Prevails as per RFP. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | following: Experience towards Construction and Operations & Maintenance of Wet Waste Processing and Treatment through Composting of 600 TPD capacity OR Construction and O&M of Bio-methanation plant from MSW waste of 300 TPD capacity. | | |
| 102 | RFP Clause 2.2.2<br><br>The said Key Personnel will continue to be available during the Concession Period as agreed under the Concession Agreement. In the event of any such Key Personnel leaving the Bidder selected under this RFP during the Concession Period, he/she shall be replaced by a person with equivalent qualification and experience. | We request Authority to delete provision of submitting Key Personnel. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 103 | RFP Clause 3.1.3 (c) Page 64<br><br>Number of years with firm shall be considered with the proof of salary certificate along with the PF certificates issued by the bidders | Employee Salary slips and PF certificates are very confidential and will be a privacy data and documents. Hence, we request the Authority to delete such provision of submitting the salary / payslips and PF Certificates. . | Refer Addendum-1 |
| 104 | RFP Appendix II Page 111<br><br>Appendix II: Financial Proposal | There is no such option of uploading documents (Forms 1, 2A2 B,3 and Appendix II) mentioned under Financial Proposal at KPP Portal. Kindly confirm, whether these forms are deleted or to be submitted Blank along with Technical Bid as we cannot disclose any financial numbers in the Technical Proposal. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 105 | DCA Clause 25.4<br><br>Termination Compensation for Termination due to a Force Majeure Event | The Termination compensation due to such events should have a provision of 150%Equity infused +110% Debt Due+ Net Tipping Fee. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 106 | RFP Clause 2.16.5 DCA Clause 18.1.6<br><br>The Tipping Fee rate shall be escalated at the rate of 5 per cent year-on-year, every year after the 1st year post COD. | Please modify the same to Price escalation 5% year on year on previous year tipping fee+ WPI | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 107 | DCA Clause 18.1.6<br><br>The proportion of the Overall Tipping Fee determined towards Secondary Transportation: Processing & Disposal is 50:50. That is, if the | we propose that the ratio of Secondary C&T to P&D be revised to 30:70, respectively as P&D has huge CAPEX investment with less of returns.<br>Please modify accordingly. | Tender Condition Prevails |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Overall Tipping Fee Page 122 of 196 is Rs. 100/TPD | | |
| 108 | DCA Page 31 Clause 4.1.3<br><br>Conditions Precedence for Concessionaire<br>Procured at its own cost, water connection, power connection and other service connections to the Site; | Kindly change the provisioning of all the utilities like Power connection, sewer, drainage, motorable roads, boundary wall, water supply and other appurtenant to the project facilities i.e. site(s) by the authority. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 109 | DCA Clause 9.1<br><br>the Performance Security shall be reduced to 25% (twenty-five per cent) till the end of Concession Period or till the Termination Date, | We request authority should release entire Performance security amount within 30 days of completion of Partial COD. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 110 | RFP Annexure V Page 130<br><br>Land<br>Tentative location of the Package wise Processing plant location.<br><br>Area Available (Acre): 90 Acre (Approx.) for North Package<br><br>Area Available (Acre): 100 Acre (Approx.) for South Package | As per the RFP, the tentative land availability is indicated as 90 acres (28pprox..) for the North Package and 100 acres (28pprox..) for the South Package. However, during our site visit and survey, we observed that the land earmarked for the North Package is only about 40 acres and is currently under possession of a private party, while the land available for the South Package is limited to approximately 15 acres.<br>We request the Authority to kindly clarify:<br>In case of any shortfall in land availability, or change in the location/size of the site, what mechanism or alternative arrangement will be adopted by the Authority to ensure project viability? | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 111 | DCA Page 3<br><br>The Authority shall utilize its own funds to construct transfer stations within each division of the project jurisdiction and subsequently hand them over to the successful bidder | As this is an integrated Project encompassing both Secondary Collection & Transportation and Processing & Disposal components, we respectfully request the Authority to include the development and construction of Transfer Stations and Vehicle Procurement within the scope of the Concessionaire. In this regard, a specific capital grant amount may be allocated by the Authority, with disbursement linked to the achievement of construction milestones related to the Transfer Stations.<br>We request Authority to give all the grants received from Central govt. or any organization with respect to Management of Municipal Solid works in the Project area to the Concessionaire during the Concession period. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 112 | DCA Clause 15.12<br><br>Milestone/ Key Activities To be undertaken by Concessionaire | We respectfully request the Authority to consider and grant a construction period of 36 (thirty-six) months from the date of obtaining the CTE, for the execution of the Integrated Processing and Disposal Facility. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 113 | DCA Page 16 Clause 2.2.3<br><br>Since this is a commercial activity conducted on government land, the Concessionaire shall remit INR 800 per TPD of bulk waste handled. This amount shall be escalated by 5% every year in line with the escalation in the tipping fee). | Since the capital investment for the Processing & Disposal (P&D) facility is being made entirely by the Concessionaire, the proposed royalty charge of ₹800 per tonne appears to be disproportionately high. We request the Authority to delete this provision as the investment is solely made by the concessionaire.<br>We request you to include BWGs Collection and Transportation along with Processing and Disposal works in the scope of the Concessionaire. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 114 | DCA Page 62 Clause 9.10<br><br>Mobilization Advance Guarantee (Deleted) | We respectfully request the Authority to provide a Mobilization Advance equivalent to 20% of the approved Capital Cost of the Project. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 115 | DCA Clause 19.2<br><br>Schedule Of Penalties | We request Authority to give a cap of 5% of the Tranche 2(i.e. 20%of the tipping fee under Tranche 2) | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 116 | General<br>Grants | We request Authority to give us the grant as per the provisions of VGF scheme launched by CCEA in 2020. | Tender Condition Prevails. |
| 117 | DCA Clause 6.1.2<br><br>The Authority shall publish the gazette notification for the bulk waste generators specifying about the empanelment of the Concessionaire as an Authorized Waste Processor for bulk waste, after COD is achieved. | We understand Entire BWG under jurisdiction of respective package will be in the scope of Concessionaire. Authority to confirm. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 118 | General<br><br>SITE SPECIFIC | Exact Boundaries of the Land parcel of the sites which will be handed over to the concessionaire as a part of the project to be provided to the bidders before bidding.<br>Also will concessionaire be given other approach roads since the current approach roads to the sites are very narrow.<br><br>For the South Package the site identified has two concerns:<br>1. Settlement nearby: we understand Authority will remove nearby settlement as per environmental norms before handing over the site to us. | Tender Condition Prevails. |

| Sl. No | Tender Doc Ref | Query Raised | Clarifications/Amendments issued |
|---|---|---|---|
| 119 | DCA Clause 18.3<br><br>Taxes Deleted | We understand, since ownership of Land lies with the authority, the taxes on land shall be paid by Authority only. Please confirm. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 120 | DCA Clause 25.3.2<br><br>25.3.2 For a Concessionaire Event of Default (in accordance with Schedule 18) | There is a mention of schedule 18 here, kindly confirm whether schedule 18 is also applicable for Termination payment or not. | Tender Condition Prevails |
| 121 | RFP Clause 2.2.3 Page 24<br><br>The Bidder shall enclose with its Proposal, certificate(s) from its statutory auditors stating its Financial Capacity. In case the Bidder is a Joint Venture/Consortium, the Proposal must be accompanied with the details of each Member and Associate of the Joint Venture / Consortium whose Financial Capacity is considered for evaluation as provided in Clause 3.1.5. | We understand Associate qualification is for Single Bidders as well. Please make this explicit in all the provisions that associate qualification (both technical and financial)will be considered for single bidders as well. | Tender Condition Prevails.<br><br>However, this is clarified that, Associate qualification can be used Similar requirements will prevail for use of credentials of associate qualification in case of single bidders as well. |

19/11/25

Chief Engineer &
Chief General Manager (Tech)
BSWML